	6483				
1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION				
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)				
4	Government, No. 08 CR 888				
5	vs. \$\frac{\}{}\ Chicago, Illinois				
6	ROD BLAGOJEVICH, Suly 29, 2010 Suly 29, 2010				
7	Defendants.) 10:04 o'clock a.m.				
8					
9	VOLUME 34 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES B. ZAGEL AND A JURY				
11					
12	For the Government:				
13	THE HONORABLE PATRICK J. FITZGERALD, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY				
14	Carrie E. Hamilton Christopher Niewoehner Assistant United States Attorneys				
1516					
17	Suite 500 Chicago, Illinois 60604				
18	Chicago, Illinois 00007				
19					
20	Court Reporter:				
21	Blanca I. Lara. CSR. RPR				
22	219 South Dearborn Street				
23	Room 2504 Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 435-5895				
24					
25					

```
6484
   APPEARANCES (continued:)
 2
    For Defendant Rod Blagojevich:
 3
             KAPLAN & SOROSKY
             BY: Sheldon M. Sorosky
 4
             158 West Erie
             Chicago, Illinois 60610
(312) 640-1776
 5
 6
             LAW OFFICE OF SAMUEL E. ADAM
                   Samuel Forbes Adam
Samuel Adams, Jr.
             BY:
             6133 South Ellis Ávenue
 8
             Suite 200
             Chicago, Illinois 60637
312-726-2326
 9
10
11
             OFFICES OF AARON B. GOLDSTEIN
             BY: Aaron Benjamin Goldstein 6133 South Ellis
12
             Chicago, Illinois 60637
(773) 752-6950
13
14
             OFFICES OF LAUREN FAUST KAESEBERG
             BY: Lauren Faust Kaeseberg
15
             2140 N. Lincoln Park West
             Suite 307
             Chicago, Illinois 60614
(773) 517-0622
16
17
             LAW OFFICES of MICHAEL GILLESPIE BY: MICHAEL GILLESPIE
18
             53 West Jackson Boulevard
Suite 1420
19
             Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 726-9015
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
6485
    APPEARANCES (continued:)
 2
 3
     For Defendant Robert Blagojevich:
                ETTINGER, BESBEKOS, PARISI
BY: Michael D. Ettinger
Cheryl Ann Schroeder
12413 South Harlem
Suite 203
 4
 5
 6
                Palos Hills, Illinois 60453 (708)598-1111
 8
                Edelman, Combs, Latturner & Goodwin LLC
                BY: Robyn S. Molaro
120 S. LaSalle
Suite 1800
 9
10
                 Chicago, Illinois 60603
(708) 598-1111
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case: 1	08-cr-00888 Document #: 1024 Filed: 08/21/12 Page 4 of 11 PageID #:16461	
		6486
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2	WITNESS PAGE	
3		
4	Jury Question 6487	
5		
6		
7	EXHIBITS	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
20		

		6487
	1	(The following proceedings were had out of the
	2	presence of the jury in open court:)
	3	
	4	JURY QUESTION
:23AM	5	
	6	THE CLERK: 2008 CR 888, United States versus
	7	Blagojevich, et al.
	8	Please be seated.
	9	Counsel.
:23AM	10	MR. SCHAR: Good morning, Your Honor.
	11	Reid Schar, Chris Niewoehner and Carrie
	12	Hamilton on behalf of the United States.
	13	MR. GILLESPIE: Your Honor, good morning.
	14	Mike Gillespie on behalf of Mr. Blagojevich.
:24AM	15	MR. ETTINGER: Good morning, Your Honor.
	16	MR. SOROSKY: Sheldon Sorosky, S-o-r-o-s-k-y,
	17	on behalf of Mr. Blagojevich.
	18	MR. ETTINGER: Michael Ettinger Cheryl
	19	Schroeder on behalf of Robert Blagojevich.
:24AM	20	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Aaron Goldstein and Lauren
	21	Kaeseberg on behalf of Rod Blagojevich.
	22	THE COURT: We have an inquiry from the jury,
	23	not a matter of inherently great significance, but
	24	I'm giving it to you anyway.
:24AM	25	I'm assuming that the defendants are waiving

```
6488
          their presence?
        1
        2
                 MR. GILLESPIE: Yes, Your Honor.
        3
                 MR. ETTINGER: Yes, Your Honor.
        4
                 MR. SOROSKY:
                                Yes.
                 THE COURT: Mr. Walker.
        5
:24AM
               (Brief pause.)
        6
        7
                 THE COURT: If everyone has read this, you
          can all nod.
        8
                 MR. SCHAR: Yes, Judge.
        9
                 THE COURT: The answer to this question is
       10
:25AM
          obviously yes, it is possible, but I don't think
       11
          that that's the meaning of the question. So we will
       12
          begin with the views of counsel. We will start with
       13
          Mr. Ettinger.
       14
       15
                 MR. ETTINGER: We are opposed to this, Judge.
:25AM
                 THE COURT: There is one thing I want to say,
       16
          were I to do this, they would receive all of the
       17
          closing arguments, and I probably assume --
       18
                                  Judge, we would be opposed to
       19
                  MR. GILLESPIE:
          it on behalf of the Governor, as well, based upon
       20
:25AM
          the fact that 's not evidence, closing arguments
       21
          are not evidence.
       22
                 MR. SCHAR: Judge, I'm trying to think of
       23
          some legal argument that would allow us to do this,
       24
          but I think the government's position is no.
       25
:26AM
```

6489

Well, I guess the question is -- I mean, we certainly don't have an objection for all three of them going back, but they're not, technically, evidence.

THE COURT: I would, under certain circumstances, be willing to do this, but I'm pretty sure I know why they want it, and that's why I'm inclined to believe that it is probably harmless. But it's, generally speaking, at these early stages of it, not something we ought to do.

What I think what they're looking for is, this was a complex and repetitive indictment, which is not uncommon. Although, there were moments when I was reading for the fourth or fifth time the same words to the jury that I was thinking, there must be some way to avoid this endless repetition.

So what I think they're looking for, and what the government largely gave them during certain points of its closing, was a roadmap, because government realizes it's a complex indictment and you had to explain this to them.

I propose to answer this question by telling them that because the closing statements are not evidence, I am not sending it to them. If they are unable to work their way through this without those

:26AM

1

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

:27AM

:27AM

:27AM

:28AM

Case: 1:08-cr-00888 Document #: 1024 Filed: 08/21/12 Page 8 of 11 PageID #:16465 6490 statements, I would expect that this issue will 1 arise again, and if it does arise again then I'll deal with it again. But for now, I'm just going to 3 tell them that because they're not evidence, they can't be given to them. 5 And I believe this is the position by consent 6 7 of all the parties, am I correct? 8 MR. GILLESPIE: Yes, Your Honor. 9 MS. HAMILTON: Yes. MR. ETTINGER: Yes, Your Honor. 10 11 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes. Thank you. 12 MR. SCHAR: Judge --THE COURT: Before you go, I have indicated 13 that during the period of deliberation, I will 14 probably call the case each morning. This does not 15 mean that everybody has to show up. 16 I want one lawyer from each side. It's a practice I followed. 17 I don't remember where I picked it up, but some time 18 19

:28AM

:29AM

:29AM

:29AM

:30AM

20

21

22

23

24

25

in my past life I was told the lawyer should always come into court at the beginning of the day when the jury deliberates because that's what everybody does. That's not what everybody does, but I think it's appropriate, and something might possibly come up at the last moment. So that's what we will do.

I assume you have been informed that the jury

1 has determined that, to the extent it is necessary, they will deliberate five days a week, they will not be taking Fridays off.

I have also indicated, in response to media inquiries, that there will, and I think almost inevitably, be some gap in time between the time that the jury tells us they have reached verdict or they tell us they are unable to reach a verdict and the time we actually come into court, I was thinking about somewhere between half an hour and an hour, and I believe what I'm going to try to do is one hour.

I have also received requests from the alternate jurors, I received them yesterday, that if it is all possible for them to do so, they would like to be present. So we're notifying them, too, in advance.

with that, I'll see you again when it's necessary to see you.

MR. ETTINGER: What time do you want us here in the morning, Judge?

THE COURT: I was thinking 9:30 would be a good time or 10:00. Whatever you would prefer. You can tell Mr. Walker if you have a consensus choice.

MR. SOROSKY: 9:30 okay?

:30AM

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

:30AM

:31AM

17

:31AM

:31AM

:31AM

```
6492
           THE COURT: 9:30 is okay.
 1
           All right?
 2
 3
           MR. SCHAR: Thank you, Judge.
                          Thank you, Judge.
 4
           MR. SOROSKY:
 5
 6
        (Which concluded the proceedings had on this
 7
        date in the above entitled cause.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	6493
1	
2	
3	* * * * * * * *
4	
5 6	
7	I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT
8	FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED
9	MATTER
10	
11	
12	/s/Blanca I. Lara date
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Blanca I. Lara Date
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	